UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETE	ENTION PENDING TRIAL	
v. Sterling Wesley Hickmon, II		Case No. 1:18-cr-00	Case No. 1:18-cr-00140-JTN	
	Defendant fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I	conclude that these facts require	
that the de	efendant be detained pending trial.	Findings of Foot		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe	Findings of Fact	as previously been convicted of	
(')	a federal offense a state or local offense existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed i	n 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	·		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribe	ed in: .*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	ate or local offenses.	or federal offenses described in 18	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim			
	the possession or use of a firear a failure to register under 18 U.S		her dangerous weapon	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	I while the defendant was on rele	ease pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	ate of conviction de	efendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alternat	tive Findings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		*	
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance			
<u>√</u> (1)	Alternat There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	tive Findings (B) ppear.		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar		or the community.	
	Part II – Statement o	of the Reasons for Detention		
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at a preponderance of the evidence that:		s by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing	
	dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to c dant may bring the issue of his continuing detentior		nis circumstances change.	
	Part III – Directio	ons Regarding Detention		
correction appeal. T	the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorn is facility separate, to the extent practicable, from perfect defendant must be afforded a reasonable opporturt or on request of an attorney for the Government	orney General or a designated re ersons awaiting or serving senter tunity to consult privately with de	nces or held in custody pending fense counsel. On order of United	

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: May 15, 2019